Response of the ozone column over Europe to the 2011 Arctic ozone depletion event according to ground-based observations and assessment of the consequent variations in surface UV irradiance
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HIGHLIGHTS
- We examine the effect of Arctic ozone depletions on the ozone column over West Europe.
- The measurements of ozone column performed at 34 European stations have been analysed.
- The daily ozone column distributions over Europe were reconstructed and studied.
- Strong impact of the 2011 Arctic ozone depletion on mid-latitude ozone was ascertained.
- The effect of the 2011 ozone depletion on surface UV over Europe has been analysed.
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ABSTRACT
The strong ozone depletion event that occurred in Arctic during spring 2011 was found to cause appreciable reduction in the ozone column (OC) in Europe, even at lower latitudes. The features of this episode have been analysed using the data recorded at 34 ground-based stations located in the European area and compared with the similar events in 2000 and 2005. The results provided evidence that OC as far...
1. Introduction

Ozone plays an important role in the Earth’s energy balance through its important effects on radiative processes, interacting with both solar and infrared terrestrial radiation (Brasseur and Solomon, 2005; WMO, 2007). It is also vitally important to life because of its strong absorption of biologically harmful solar ultraviolet (UV) radiation (Lucas et al., 2006). Observations performed during recent decades indicate that atmospheric ozone was subject to a variety of anomalies on different spatial and temporal scales. So-called “ozone mini-holes” (Siani et al., 2002; Mangold et al., 2009; Werner et al., 2009) are characterized by significant ozone decreases lasting 1–3 days over limited geographical regions and are associated with synoptic weather systems (Dobson et al., 1929). In contrast the Antarctic ozone depletion discovered in the middle of the 1980s (Farman et al., 1985), covers an area of continental extent and is more persistent. The reduction in ozone observed at middle latitudes since the end of 1970s (WMO, 1998, Antón et al., 2011) and the severe Antarctic ozone depletion, led to the Montreal Protocol on Substances that Deplete the Ozone Layer (http://www.unep.org/ozone) and its subsequent amendments and adjustments. However, despite expectations that ozone is beginning to return to pre-1980s levels (WMO, 2010; Antón et al., 2011; Zerefos et al., 2012), knowledge of its complex interactions with the processes regulating the climate is still limited. Although the ozone destruction mechanisms are quite well understood, several unexpected ozone depletions have sporadically occurred during the last two decades over the Arctic region (WMO, 2007; Manney et al., 2011), due to particular meteorological conditions.

According to current understanding, the reduction of the ozone column (OC) in Antarctica is a result of chemical destruction by photolytic reactions taking place in the very stable polar vortex, which creates favourable conditions for ozone depletion (Molina and Rowland, 1974; Crutzen and Arnold, 1986). Conversely, the vortex over the Arctic is less stable and frequently disturbed by Rossby waves (Hauchecorne et al., 2002), inhibiting the chemical processes of ozone loss. In addition, the Brewer-Dobson circulation, more intensive in the North hemisphere, maintains comparatively high ozone concentration over the northern polar regions (WMO, 2010). However, the cold vortices observed in the 1995/1996, 1996/1997, 1999/2000, 2004/2005 and 2010/2011 winters contributed to OC depletion occurrences during the winter—spring months (Hauchecorne et al., 2002; Koch et al., 2004; WMO, 2007; Rosewall et al., 2008; Arnone et al., 2012). The last of these occurrences, caused by an extremely cold and stable polar vortex conducive to the chemical ozone loss, was found to be comparable in intensity with the corresponding Antarctic events (Manney et al., 2011). The features of the Arctic ozone loss within the vortex have been studied in detail since the mid-nineties (e.g. the MATCH campaigns: von der Gathen et al., 1995; Rex and von der Gathen, 2007; Thompson et al., 2011).
the corresponding 2000 and 2005 OC variations caused by similar depletions. In addition, the response of surface UV irradiance to such events has been analysed by use of a radiative transfer model.

2. Data-set

To characterise the effects caused by the Arctic ozone depletion that occurred in spring 2011 we analysed data provided by 34 ground-based stations located from the Svalbard Archipelago to the Mediterranean over the area shown in Fig. 1. Table 1 gives the geographical coordinates of all the stations, the instrument model employed at each site, and the period for which data were available. Hereinafter the name of each station will be followed in the text by its Data recorded in 2010 and 2011 only.

The accuracy of well-maintained Dobson and Brewer instruments was estimated to be 0.5% and 0.15%, respectively, and the differences with respect to satellite products were found to vary from 0.6 to 2.6% for Brewers and Dobsons and between 1.5 and 3.5% for filter instruments (WMO, 2010).

Table 1
List of the stations considered in the present analysis with the corresponding coordinates and measurement period. The major part of the data were downloaded from the web site of World Ozone and Ultraviolet Data Centre (WOUDC, 2012); the stations taken part of this data-set are labelled by superscript "W". The stations equipped with SAOZ spectrometer (Pommereau and Goutail, 1988) for which the data were taken from SAOZ (2012) web site are labelled by superscript "Z". In addition, two narrow-band filter radiometers UV-RAD (Petkov et al., 2012, 2013) have been also included.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N</th>
<th>Station, country, instrument</th>
<th>Latitude</th>
<th>Longitude</th>
<th>Period</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Ny-Ålesund, Norway, UV-RAD(^1), SAOZ</td>
<td>78°56' N</td>
<td>21°59' W</td>
<td>2007–2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Scoresby Sund(^2), Greenland, SAOZ</td>
<td>70°29' N</td>
<td>21°59' W</td>
<td>2000–2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Murmansk(^3), Russia, Filter M-124 220</td>
<td>68°58' N</td>
<td>33°04' E</td>
<td>2000–2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Sodankyla(^4), Finland, SAOZ</td>
<td>67°25' N</td>
<td>26°35' E</td>
<td>2000–2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Vindeln(^5), Sweden, Brewer MKIIX006</td>
<td>64°14' N</td>
<td>19°46' E</td>
<td>2000–2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Lerwick(^6), UK, Dobson Beck 032, 041</td>
<td>60°08' N</td>
<td>01°11' W</td>
<td>2000–2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Oslo(^7), Norway, Brewer MKIV 042</td>
<td>59°56' N</td>
<td>10°46' E</td>
<td>2000–2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Norrköping(^8), Sweden, Brewer MKIIX128</td>
<td>58°35' N</td>
<td>16°09' E</td>
<td>2000–2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Manchester(^10), UK, Brewer MKIIIX172</td>
<td>53°29' N</td>
<td>02°14' W</td>
<td>2000–2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Lindenberg(^11), Germany, Brewer MKIV 030, MKIIX078</td>
<td>52°13' N</td>
<td>14°07' E</td>
<td>2000–2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>De Bilt(^12), Netherlands, Brewer MKIIIX100, 189</td>
<td>52°06' N</td>
<td>05°11' E</td>
<td>2000–2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Valeria Observatory(^13), Ireland, Brewer MKIIX088</td>
<td>51°56' N</td>
<td>10°15' W</td>
<td>2000–2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Reading(^14), UK, Brewer MKIIX075, MKIIX126</td>
<td>51°27' N</td>
<td>00°56' W</td>
<td>2002–2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Uccle(^15), Belgium, Brewer MKIIIX016, MKIIX178</td>
<td>50°48' N</td>
<td>04°21' E</td>
<td>2000–2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Hradec Kralove(^16), Czech Republic, Brewer MKIIX184</td>
<td>50°06' N</td>
<td>15°50' E</td>
<td>2000–2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Diekirch(^17), Luxembourg, Microtops II 3012, 5375</td>
<td>49°52' N</td>
<td>06°10' E</td>
<td>2001–2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Poprad-Ganovecke(^18), Slovakia, Brewer MKIIX097</td>
<td>49°02' N</td>
<td>20°19' E</td>
<td>2000–2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Paris(^19), France, SAOZ</td>
<td>48°52' N</td>
<td>02°19' E</td>
<td>2006–2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Hohenpeissenberg(^20), Germany, Brewer MKIIX010</td>
<td>47°48' N</td>
<td>11°01' E</td>
<td>2000–2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Budapest-Lunz(^21), Hungary, Brewer MKIIX152</td>
<td>47°26' N</td>
<td>19°11' E</td>
<td>2000–2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Chisinau(^22), Moldova, Microtops II 7351</td>
<td>47°00' N</td>
<td>28°49' E</td>
<td>2001–2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Arosa(^23), Switzerland, Brewer MKIIX040</td>
<td>46°47' N</td>
<td>09°41' E</td>
<td>2000–2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Aosta(^24), Italy, Brewer MKIIX066</td>
<td>45°42' N</td>
<td>07°22' E</td>
<td>2007–2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Haute Provence(^26), France, SAOZ, Dobson Beck 085</td>
<td>43°56' N</td>
<td>05°42' E</td>
<td>2000–2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>La Coruña(^27), Spain, Brewer MKIIX151, 070</td>
<td>43°20' N</td>
<td>08°28' E</td>
<td>2000–2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Rome University(^28), Italy, Brewer MKIIX067</td>
<td>41°54' N</td>
<td>12°31' E</td>
<td>2000–2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Zaragoza(^29), Spain, Brewer MKIIX166</td>
<td>41°38' N</td>
<td>00°55' W</td>
<td>2000–2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Thessaloniki(^30), Greece, Brewer MKIIIX005</td>
<td>40°31' N</td>
<td>22°58' E</td>
<td>2000–2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Madrid(^31), Spain, Brewer MKIIX070</td>
<td>40°27' N</td>
<td>03°43' W</td>
<td>2000–2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Murcia(^32), Spain, Brewer MKIIX117</td>
<td>38°09' N</td>
<td>01°10' W</td>
<td>2000–2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Athens(^33), Greece, Dobson Beck 118</td>
<td>37°59' N</td>
<td>23°45' E</td>
<td>2000–2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>El Arenosillo(^34), Spain, Brewer MKIIX150, Dobson Beck 120</td>
<td>37°06' N</td>
<td>06°44' W</td>
<td>2000–2011</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{a}\) Data recorded in 2010 and 2011 only.

The mean ozone variation curve \(Q_{8,17}(t)\) was obtained by averaging and smoothing the annual time-patterns \(Q_{8,17}(t)\) (thin grey curves). Parameter \(Q_{8,2011}(t)\) describes the time-patterns of OC measured in 2011 and smoothed after filling all the gaps through a linear interpolation procedure in time.
3. Latitudinal characteristics of the ozone column variations during spring 2011

The results obtained from the data-sets recorded at 11 European stations (Fig. 1) were used to examine the main OC variations along the meridian during spring 2011. The stations were chosen to attain nearly regularly spaced observational points ranging between about 80° N and 40° N latitudes, following two different trajectories, both starting from Ny-Ålesund (S1) and ending at Madrid (S31) and Rome (S28), respectively.

3.1. Parameterization of the ozone column variations

To correctly determine the meridional characteristics of the OC variations during spring 2011, they were compared at each selected station with the corresponding reference behaviour found by computing the mean ozone time-patterns in preceding years chosen to represent the typical OC behaviour. In the previous decade, the years 2000 and 2005 were characterized by deep ozone depletions over the polar regions (Koch et al., 2004; Von Hobe et al., 2006; Rösevall et al., 2008; Manney et al., 2011), while the sudden stratospheric warming occurred in late February 2008 caused a significant reduction of OC over North Europe (Flury et al., 2009). Bearing in mind these events, the period $\Delta T$ taken to assess the reference behaviour of OC in the study area was determined by years 2001–2004 and 2006–2007. Years 2009 and 2010 were assumed as undisturbed control years, representing ozone variations observed in a single year. Hence, the daily mean of OC $QS$, $\Delta T(t_J)$ determined at station $S$ for day of the year $t_J$ was computed by averaging all the corresponding values $Q_{S,Y}(t_J)$ recorded on the

---

Fig. 3. Variations in OC at the selected stations, labelled in Fig. 1 with white circles, during the February–May period of different years and determined by the ratios evaluated in terms of Eq. (1). Upper part shows the ozone changes recorded at Ny-Ålesund (S1) using the UV-RAD (left) and SAOZ (right) instruments, respectively given by ratios $R_{1,2011/2010}(t_J)$ and $R_{1,2011}(t_J)$ (thick curves), and $R_{1,2007}(t_J)$ (grey curve). The lower part shows the ozone variations measured in 2011 (thick black curves) together with the corresponding variations measured in years 2005 (thick dashed grey curves), 2009 (thin grey curves), and 2010 (thin dashed grey curves). The stations are indicated by the letter “S” followed by the corresponding identification numbers given in Table 1. The vertical dashed lines define the periods found to present similar features of the variations and discussed in Section 3.3 for the years 2005 (left) and 2011 (right).
same day of each year \( Y \) pertaining to \( \Delta T \). Finally, the mean annual variation of parameter \( Q_{S_{\Delta T}}(t_j) \) was smoothed by applying a running average procedure over a 5-day window, which filters the short-period fluctuations and returns the reference OC time-sequence \( \overline{Q}_{S_{\Delta T}}(t_j) \). As an example, Fig. 2 shows these parameters obtained for the data-set collected at Norrköping (S8). The values of \( Q_{S_{2011}}(t_j) \) clearly exhibit a sharp decrease of OC in March 2011 with respect to the corresponding values of \( \overline{Q}_{S_{\Delta T}}(t_j) \). Thus, the ratio

\[
R_{SY}(t_j) = \frac{Q_{SY}(t_j)}{\overline{Q}_{S_{\Delta T}}(t_j)},
\]

provides a measure of the mean relative ozone variations observed throughout the year, \( Y \), at a station, \( S \), with respect to the mean variations determined over the period, \( \Delta T \), at the same station. Because of the short observational period at the northernmost station, Ny-Ålesund (S1), the period \( \Delta T = [2008–2010] \) replaced \( \Delta T \) for the SAOZ data-set and the ratio \( R_{1,2011;2010}(t_j) = Q_{1,2011}(t_j)/Q_{1,2010}(t_j) \) was determined to evaluate the 2011 ozone variations registered by UV-RAD.

3.2. Latitudinal features of ozone column variations

The upper panels of Fig. 3 exhibit the OC behaviour at Ny-Ålesund (S1) represented in terms of ratios \( R_{1,2005}(t_j) \), \( R_{1,2011}(t_j) \) and \( R_{1,2011;2010}(t_j) \), respectively, while the lower part presents the variations of ratios \( R_{S,2005}(t_j) \), \( R_{S,2006}(t_j) \), \( R_{S,2010}(t_j) \) and \( R_{S,2011}(t_j) \) computed for the other 10 stations (Fig. 1). The results obtained at Ny-Ålesund (S1) show that at the end of March 2011 OC was about 40% lower than in the reference years and it returned to its common depletion from high to lower latitudes, each of ratios \( R_{S,2005}(t_j) \) and \( R_{S,2011}(t_j) \) was compared with any of the corresponding ratios \( R_{S,2005}(t_j) \) for \( S = 6, 12, 20, 31 \) and \( R_{S,2011}(t_j) \) for \( S = 8, 11, 18, 28 \). The scatter-plots, constructed for each of these pairs were characterised by complicated curves, which could be appreciably simplified taking the ratios at the stations \( S \) with a time delay of \( t_S \) days and restricting the comparison period to 51 and 54 days for 2005 and 2011, respectively. Cross-correlating each pair of time-series that represent the corresponding ratios, the time lag \( t_S \) has been determined so that the relationship between \( R_{S,2005}(t_j) \) and \( R_{S,2005}(t_j + t_S) \), and between \( R_{S,2011}(t_j) \) and \( R_{S,2011}(t_j + t_S) \) tended to represent almost one-to-one correspondence. Ratio \( R_{S,2011}(t_j) \) determined over the period from 28 January \( (t_j) \) to 19 March 2005 was related to ratios \( R_{S,2005}(t_j + t_S) \) evaluated over the 51-day period starting at time \( t_S \) for each station \( S \), as the left part of Fig. 4 shows. The similar time-interval for \( R_{S,2011}(t_j) \) started on 8 March \( (t_j) \) and finished on 30 April 2011. Consequently, \( R_{S,2011}(t_j) \) was compared with \( R_{S,2011}(t_j + t_S) \) assessed over a 54-day period beginning on \( t_S \) (Fig. 4). The time intervals \( [t_j + t_S, (t_j + t_S) + 31] \) for the 2005 measurements and \( [t_j + t_S, (t_j + t_S) + 54] \) for those of 2011 are shown in Fig. 3 (vertical dashed lines), while the corresponding time lags \( t_S \) are reported in Fig. 4. Fig. 4 shows that the relationships between ozone variations at Sodankylä (S4) in 2011 and those at each of the four lower-latitude
stations closely resemble one-to-one (bijective) maps, i.e. there is a nearly one-to-one correspondence between the OC variations at Sodankylä (S₄) and those recorded at the four southern stations within the adopted 54-day time-interval. Conversely, the relationships between ratios $R_{2,2005}(t_J)$ and $R_{S,2005}(t_J+s_S)$ during the 2005 ozone depletion do not show similar bijective solutions despite various attempts made by varying the parameter $t_0$. These results lead to the assumption that nearly one-to-one relationships found for the 2011 data can be considered to arise from a strong cause-effect connections between the corresponding ratios (e.g. Woodward, 2010). However, a similar inference for the ozone variations recorded in spring 2005 cannot be proved on the basis of the ground-based measurements presented here. In addition, unrealistically high values of $s_S$ found from 2005 data at southern sites render the relationship between high- and mid-latitude ozone variations less reliable. Thus, despite the similarities between the features of ozone depletions observed at Arctic stations in 2005 and 2011, the second one appears to have produced a more pronounced direct effect on OC over a large region, extending its impact to the mid-latitudinal area of the sector under study.

4. Spring-time variability in the ozone column distributions

The distribution of OC over the area between the 10°W and 20°E longitudes and 40°N and 60°N latitudes (Fig. 1) was investigated by analysing the data recorded in February–April 2011, together with (i) the corresponding data collected in 2000 and 2005, both characterised by deep Arctic ozone depletion, and (ii) the 2006 and 2010 data, assumed to present regular OC patterns in the northern polar regions.

Data from ground-based stations were interpolated to obtain the distribution of OC over a grid of 2.5° resolution in both latitude and longitude, covering the sector shown in Fig. 1. Such a procedure allowed us to construct a sequence of OC maps in the Western European area. The interpolation was performed by applying the Shepard (1968) approach, which determines OC $Q_{m,n}(t_J)$ at each grid-point $(m,n)$ placed at latitude $m$ and longitude $n$, as given by ratio:

$$Q_{m,n}(t_J) = \frac{\sum_{S=1}^{N} \left( d_{m,n} \right)^2 Q_S(t_J)}{\sum_{S=1}^{N} \left( d_{m,n} \right)^2} \quad (2),$$

where $N$ is the number of stations considered in the present analysis, $Q_S(t_J)$ is the daily OC measured at station $S$ and $d_{m,n}$ is the mutual distance between the grid-point $(m,n)$ and station $S$. This distance (in km) was determined by applying the Lambert (1942) formula to the World Geodetic System 1984 spheroid (WGS84, 2000). The data collected at Ny-Ålesund (S1) were not considered because of the short observational period, while the stations
outside the sector were used to interpolate to the edge of the sector, although including some stations had negligible effect. The results obtained through the adopted interpolation procedure are shown in Fig. 5a and b, as sequences of maps presenting the geographical distributions of daily OC for the period from 8 February to 15 March (Fig. 5a), and from 20 March to 25 April (Fig. 5b) of years 2000, 2005, 2006, 2010 and 2011, respectively, mainly in 5-day steps. It is worth noting that such maps, presenting the OC distribution and reconstructed from the ground-based measurements may become valuable for times when satellite data is unavailable.

Since the polar vortex is the largest factor controlling ozone destruction at high-latitudes, the resulting distributions of OC are related to the behaviour of the vortex during corresponding years. Unlike the Antarctic vortex, the Arctic one is smaller in extent, is warmer and shows a stronger dependence on meteorological conditions, as in the years 2006 and 2010 (see Manney et al., 2011). For that reason the 2006 and 2010 maps have been taken to represent the reference OC distributions characterized by comparatively high values at the northernmost latitudes, due to the dominance of the Brewer-Dobson circulation (WMO, 2010). The extremely cold Arctic vortices formed in the 1999/2000, 2004/2005 and 2010/2011 winters caused a deeper ozone depletion, which perturbed the OC at mid-latitudes, as well (Knudsen and Groos, 2000; Hauchecorne et al., 2002; Koch et al., 2004; Arnone et al., 2012). The maps presented in Fig. 5a and b show such events.

The depletions of 2000 and 2005 started in early February, and the sector was occupied by air masses presenting low ozone amounts from late February to around mid-March in contrast with the same period of the reference 2006 and 2010 years. During the spring of 2011 a similar ozone depletion occurred considerably later starting on the last days of March and lasting until the end of April that could be accounted for specific features of the 2011 vortex behaviour.

Fig. 6a and b present the potential vorticity (PV) for some days from mid February to late April 2005 and 2011 respectively, according to data provided by the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF, http://www.ecmwf.int). The white zones indicate the areas where PV at the 475 K isentropic level exceeded 47 PVU (Potential Vorticity Unit: $1\text{PVU} = 10^{-6} \text{ K m}^2 \text{ kg}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$) and was considered to outline the

---

**Fig. 6.** a. Potential vorticity (PV) over the north hemisphere on some days of 2005 according to ECMWF data. Backward 120-h trajectories evaluated through the HYSPLIT model for 60° N, 50° N and 40° N at the 5° E meridian are also presented by thick black curves, together with the contours of the sector. b. As in Fig. 6a, for 2011.
polar vortex. On the same graphs 120-h backward air parcel trajectories calculated by HYSPLIT model (Draxler and Rolph, 2012) at 20 km altitude for three central points of the sector (60°N, 50°N and 40°N at the 5°E meridian), are also shown. It can be noticed that until 10 March 2005 the transport of air masses to the sector was predominantly from the vortex edge regions, which could explain the low ozone areas that sporadically appeared in the north-west part, as Fig. 5a shows. During the following 10 days of 2005 the break-up processes led to the fragmentation of the vortex. Meanwhile, the northern area of the sector was affected by both the fragments themselves and the air mass transport from these fragments. Such a scenario is consistent with the ozone distribution presented in Fig. 5a and b. At the end of March 2005 the vortex disappeared (Rösevall et al., 2008) and OC over the sector returned to normal levels. According to Fig. 6b, from mid-February to late March 2011 the northernmost borders of the sector were affected by air masses transported from areas near to the vortex edges. Since the 2011 Arctic vortex was anomalously strong (Manney et al., 2011), the exchange with its surroundings was less likely and, hence, these air masses plausibly were not ozone depleted, as Fig. 5a also confirms. At the end of March the vortex changed its shape and the sector tended to be affected by vortex air masses. In the final phase, the vortex tilted off the Pole and moved towards the Euro-Asian region, lasting until late April (Arnone et al., 2012; Kuttippurath et al., 2012). During that period, the sector was affected by the edges of the vortex that could explain the low ozone content exhibited by the maps shown in Fig. 5b.

5. Ozone depletion effects on the surface solar UV irradiance over West Europe

It is well known that the decrease in the atmospheric ozone abundance causes a significant increase in the fraction of solar UV-B irradiance reaching the Earth’s surface (from about 295 nm to 315 nm), which can cause relevant damages to the living organisms (Lucas et al., 2006). The 2011 ozone depletion over West Europe took place within a period, when the sun elevation in northern hemisphere rapidly increases that leads to assumption of significant impact on the solar UV radiation at the ground, which is a subject of the analysis in this section.

The sensitivity of the biologically effective UV irradiance at the Earth’s surface to the variations of OC is quantified by the Radiation Amplification Factor (RAF), introduced by $I^r/I = (Q/Q^r)_{RAF}$, where the solar irradiance $I$ is measured (or modelled) for the ozone column $Q$, while $I^r$ corresponds to $Q^r$ (Madronich, 1993). For the erythemally-weighted irradiance the RAF was evaluated to be about 1.2 for high sun elevations (Seckmeyer et al., 2005). Thus, considering a 40% decrease of OC found in Section 3.2 for the most northerly European sites, the corresponding increase in erythemally weighted UV irradiance is expected to be about 85%. At mid-latitudes, where ozone depletion ranged between 15% and 18%, such an increase was 21%–27%. These percentages show that the polar biosystems were subject to 4 times higher UV stress than those at mid-latitudes.

Since the erythemal irradiance at high latitudes in the early spring is much lower than that at southern regions, the above percentages could result in different absolute amounts that were assessed through the erythemal dose $D_E(\Delta t, Q_{EY}(t_f))$ calculated as:

$$D_E(\Delta t, Q_{EY}(t_f)) = \int_{280 \text{ nm}}^{400 \text{ nm}} dt \int_{\Delta t} A_E(\lambda) \left[ Q_{EY}(t_f) \right] d\lambda, \quad (3)$$

over a 3-h period $\Delta t$ symmetrically covering the local noon for each day $t_f$ at any station $S$ presented in the lower part of Fig. 3. $A_E(\lambda)$ is the erythema action spectrum (McKINlay and Diffey, 1987) and the spectral solar irradiance $I_{\lambda, Q_{EY}(t_f)}$ was calculated for cloudless sky by the Tropospheric Ultraviolet and Visible (TUV) radiative transfer model (Madronich and Flocke, 1997). The following inputs were used: (i) the corresponding OC time-sequences $Q_{EY}(t_f)$ and $Q_{EY,\Delta t}(t_f)$ determined in Section 3.1, (ii) US Subarctic Winter profiles (Anderson et al., 1986) of temperature, ozone and air density for areas above 52°N and the corresponding mid-latitude profiles for areas below 52°N, (iii) surface albedo equal to 0.60 for the sites between 59°N and 70°N, 0.10 for the two sites at 52°N, and 0.04 for the sites below 52°N, and (iv) null aerosol and cloud attenuations (ideal clear-sky conditions). Finally, the parameter $\Delta D_{EY}(t_f)$, defined as:

$$\Delta D_{EY}(t_f) = D_E(\Delta t, Q_{EY}(t_f)) - D_E(\Delta t, Q_{EY,\Delta t}(t_f)), \quad (4)$$

which is assumed to represent the changes in the erythemal doses arising from the differences between $Q_{EY}(t_f)$ and $Q_{EY,\Delta t}(t_f)$, was calculated. Fig. 7 shows the time-patterns of $\Delta D_{EY}(t_f)$ expressed in terms of standard erythemal dose (1 SED = 100 J m$^{-2}$, Diffey et al., 1997), found for the February–May period of the years $Y = 2005$ and $Y = 2011$.

As can be seen from Fig. 7, the 2005 Arctic ozone depletion event in practice did not produce any significant variations in the erythemal irradiance at latitudes $\geq 60^\circ$N, because it occurred on dates when the sun elevation at noon was very low. The variations of $\Delta D_{EY}(t_f)$ below this latitude showed different temporal-patterns for different sites in the March–April period, that makes the relation with the Arctic ozone depletion less likely. The large fluctuations in $\Delta D_{EY}(t_f)$ at Norrköping (58°N), Lindenberg (511°N)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>S2, 70°N</th>
<th>S4, 67°N</th>
<th>S6, 60°N</th>
<th>S11, 52°N</th>
<th>S12, 52°N</th>
<th>S18, 49°N</th>
<th>S20, 48°N</th>
<th>S28, 42°N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FEB</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAR</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APR</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAY</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 7. Variations of the parameter $\Delta D_{EY}(t_f)$, expressed in SED (1 SED = 100 J m$^{-2}$), evaluated in terms of Eq. (4) for the stations represented in the lower part of Fig. 3. The grey dashed curves indicate the corresponding variations found for 2005, while the solid black curves give the 2011 findings.
Arctic ozone depletion was able to trigger a significant increase in the erythemal dose at mid-latitudes is almost the same or even higher than that observed in polar areas despite the considerably deeper erythemal dose at mid-latitudes is almost the same or even higher. Such a discrepancy can be accounted for the solar elevation differences at Arctic and mid-latitudes during the early spring. A similar result was reported by Bernhard et al. (2012) for the UV index.

Hence, the present analysis leads to the conclusion that 2011 Arctic ozone depletion was able to trigger a significant increase in the erythemal dose over Western Europe in April, when the typical climate in the south-west regions is favourable to spend more time outdoors. It is worth pointing out that the above analysis was made for clear-sky conditions to estimate only the ozone effect on the ground-level UV irradiance. The impact of other factors like cloudiness and aerosols (Németh et al., 1996; Seckmeyer et al., 2008), which can modify the present results, is beyond the aim of present investigation. It should be mentioned also that the UV doses were evaluated for a horizontal surface. Bearing in mind the complex geometry of the human body on the one hand and the geometry of the radiation field, on the other, it can be assumed that in some cases the actual exposure might be even higher than that evaluated (Seckmeyer et al., 2013).

6. Conclusions

The response of the mid-latitude ozone column (OC) to the Arctic depletion occurring in the winter-spring period of 2011 was analysed using the ground-based measurements performed at 34 European stations. It was found that OC over Europe was strongly impacted by the phenomenon taking place in the Arctic, which was appreciably more marked than that observed during previous similar events. The significant reduction of the mid-latitude OC started suddenly after the Arctic minimum at late March, and lasted for a rather long period after the ozone recovery in the polar regions. It was estimated that OC over Western Europe decreased by 15–25% with respect to the mean value determined over the last decade. The distributions of OC were reconstructed for the period from early February to late April for several years between 2000 and 2011. It was found that the 2011 mid-latitude OC was affected by the Arctic depletion later than for other similar occurrences.

The 2011 ozone decrease over West Europe lasted till late April when the solar elevation is considerably higher and, hence, was able to cause an appreciable increase in the erythemal irradiance. The model assessments show that the West Europe inhabitants were affected at noon-time on the clear-sky days of April 2011 by erythemal doses higher by about 3–4 SEED than usual. The UV stress suffered by solar biosystems of the North hemisphere was evaluated to be about 4 times higher than that at mid-latitudes.

Analysing the ozone observations performed until now and using model evaluations taking into account the evolution of the ozone depleting substances (ODSs) in the atmosphere, the WMO (2010) assessment determined the two decades up to 2010 as an onset stage of ozone increase. The ozone depletion examined here, which occurred at the end of this stage, suggests that we should be more cautious with premature decisions regarding UV monitoring programs, since similar occurrences cannot be excluded in the following decades until the ODSs have been removed from the stratosphere.
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